UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,316	04/21/2004	Joel R. Studin	SDF 04-14	5671
7590 12/03/2010 Stuart D. Frenkel			EXAM	IINER
Suite 330			SHEIKH, HUMERA N	
3975 University Drive Fairfax, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	RECORD OF ORAL HEARING		
2	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		
3			
4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS		
5	AND INTERFERENCES		
6			
7	Ex Parte JOEL R. STUDIN		
8			
0	Appeal 2009-013624		
9	Application 10/829,316		
10	Technology Center 1600		
11	Oral Hearing Held: September 16, 2010		
12	Oral Hearing Heid. September 10, 2010		
13			
14	Before CAROL A. SPIEGEL, TONI R. SCHEINER, and		
15	STEPHEN G. WALSH, Administrative Patent Judges.		
16			
17	APPEARANCES:		
18			
19	ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT:		
20			
21	STUART D. FRENKEL, ESQUIRE Suite 330		
22	3975 University Drive		
23	Fairfax, Virginia 22030		
24			
25			
26			

- 1 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday,
- 2 September 16, 2010 commencing at 10:17 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and
- 3 Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, before Victor
- 4 Lindsay, a Notary Public.
- 5 THE USHER: Calendar No. 30, Appeal No. 2009-013624,
- 6 Mr. Frenkel.
- 7 MR. FRENKEL: Good morning. If I messed up your schedule, I
- 8 apologize. I've been around here for a long time and traffic never ceases to
- 9 amaze me or exasperate me.
- JUDGE SPIEGEL: We've all been in your place.
- MR. FRENKEL: This is my associate, Jenny Fishman. She's here
- 12 just to observe, to see what -- how the process works.
- JUDGE SPIEGEL: You have 20 minutes, and you may begin as you
- 14 please.
- MR. FRENKEL: The inventor in this case is Joel Studin. He's been a
- 16 plastic surgeon in the New York City area for over 20 years. And the case
- 17 here involves really two sets of claims. Both are related to the treatment of
- healed wounds. A healed wound is described at page 12, lines 23 through
- 19 page 13, line 16 on the spec. A healed wound is a wound that has
- 20 completely closed up, the scab is gone. Now there's a layer of skin, and the
- 21 process that's beginning is the development of the collagen or the
- 22 degradation of the collagen. To avoid a scar or to prevent excessive
- scarring, what we want to do is balance the collagen generation and the
- 24 collagenase degradation of the skin also as described at page 13, line 7-10 of
- 25 the spec on the balance of the collagen.

26

1	The two claims or the two sets of claims the Examiner did not require
2	restriction. There's the application of a liquid film on the healed wound
3	which dries to a solid film over the healed wound or the scar. That film can
4	include a medicament or not. Even if it doesn't include a medicament such
5	as steroid or a vitamin, there's still an advantage which is also mentioned in
6	the spec, and this is an important feature. That film dries on the scar and
7	will apply pressure on to the scar. Pressure is a known method of reducing
8	scarring.
9	The other method is simply the application of collagenase
10	collagenase as a topical application that can be an ointment, gel, anything or
11	in a carrier film as in the first case.
12	JUDGE SCHEINER: That would be Claim 30?
13	MR. FRENKEL: Yes, that's correct. And we are not the first to treat
14	scars. The, the specification at pages 3 through 7 lists all kinds of methods
15	for treating scarring, one of which is pressure, one of which is the
16	application of steroids, the application of ointments, topical gels, and all of
17	which have disadvantages. For gels or ointments that means you have to rub
18	that on the wound or scar, and that could be painful under certain
19	circumstances. All the old treatments grease or oil film, when wearing
20	clothes that's going to soil your clothing. Pressure requires some kind of
21	contrapment that you've got to wrap around yourself, leg, arm, whatever,
22	that can fall off. Often these pressure devices have gel coatings; the gel can
23	be messy. If the thing comes off your leg, again, you're soiling your
24	clothes. So we're trying to avoid all of that mess and disadvantage on the
25	application of a liquid film that can be either brushed on, sprayed on,
26	

- 1 however, and that film now dries to a dry film that now covers the skin and
- 2 attaches to the skin and the scar.
- The Rejection, obviously we think it's a little convoluted. The
- 4 Examiner's applying two references which he says treat -- would show
- 5 topical application on skin using these film-forming materials. The first
- 6 reference, and I'm not sure how to pronounce his name, let's call him
- 7 Youssefyeh, is treating inflammatory skin conditions with safflower seeds.
- 8 And in his Patent on page -- column 14, starting at line 19, he lists various
- 9 methods of how this material can be applied, one of which is a film-forming
- 10 composition. It doesn't discuss the disadvantages of any of the other
- 11 treatments, it doesn't really discuss the advantage of a film-forming
- 12 composition. He's also not treating scars, he's teaching treating an
- 13 inflammatory disease.
- The second reference, Mantelle, is also a topical treatment. When I
- read through this, I'm not even sure if he teaches a dry film.
- JUDGE SCHEINER: Why don't we talk about -- with Lee because
- 17 there were two separate rejections, so --
- MR. FRENKEL: Okay, the Examiner says the primary reference
- doesn't reach treating the scar, so he's applying Lee as a method of treating
- 20 scarring. He says that they obviously use the treatment of the primary
- 21 reference to treat scarring. Well, what's disclosed in Lee is nothing more
- 22 than what's disclosed in our background of our Application. We're not the
- 23 first to treat scars. Lee is using pressure which is a very good method of
- 24 treating scars. Youssefyeh is treating -- not treating scars. He's not treating
- 25 the condition of collagen formation and the balance of collagen formation

26

Application 10/829,316

1 with a degradation of collagen formation to prevent the scarring on top of 2 the healed wound. 3 JUDGE SCHEINER: Well, the Examiner says that Lee discloses 4 using collagenase, do you agree with that? 5 MR. FRENKEL: Absolutely not. What Lee is doing, if you refer to 6 Claim 30, Lee is enhancing the collagenase in the body by using pressure. 7 Well known, I have no disagreement with that. We -- the Applicant says 8 hey, now we can control that balance by actually applying topically the 9 collagenase on the wound, on the scar, and depending on how far along the 10 scarring is, you can actually control the amount that you're using. Lee is 11 just applying pressure, not a bad method, that is a proven method of 12 working, but surely not as controlled as actually applying the collagenase. 13 JUDGE SCHEINER: So, the Examiner's factually incorrect --14 MR. FRENKEL: I guess. I mean, the Examiner also applies Lee to tie the references in by saying Lee teaches that scar formation is an 15 16 inflammatory process. It is not. The initial wound forming is an 17 inflammatory process. Obviously if you're cutting yourself, scraping 18 yourself, burning yourself, there's going to be an initial inflammatory 19 autoimmune response. That's not what we're talking about. We're talking 20 about after that wound has been formed and now it is healed with a layer of 21 skin. That is not an inflammatory process, now we're talking about the 22 formation of collagen and the degradation of collagen and the balance 23 between those two processes. So the combination of Lee with the primary 24 reference I simply don't understand. One isn't going to look to treating scars 25 to the inflammatory process here. And, again, I want to emphasize

- 1 importantly that our broad Claim 1, which just applies the film without any
- 2 steroid, without any vitamin, without any other medication, works because
- 3 the film is going to dry around that scar or wound and it's going to apply
- 4 some pressure. And that's not what's disclosed in any --
- 5 JUDGE SCHEINER: But the claim requires painting it on as a fluid
- 6 and allowing it to dry to a --
- 7 MR. FRENKEL: A flexible -- finite film. The other reference to
- 8 Mantel, it's essentially the same thing. The Examiner's trying to imply
- 9 saying that the reference teaches applying topically a film-forming carrier.
- 10 I'm not sure it does. I think the reference is talking about applying a film to
- a solid material, like a bandage, and then applying it to the wound. There
- is -- the reference also teaches treating mucosal tissue, so maybe there's a
- 13 film or some kind of goop that might be formed on the inside of your mouth.
- 14 That certainly isn't going to dry to a -- form a dry film. Regardless, the
- 15 method in the Mantelle Patent does not teach treating scars, does not teach
- 16 the great advantage of applying a liquid which forms a dry film which can
- 17 apply pressure, and which can include other materials in it which may
- 18 further help the balance of the collagenase reaction. That's really all I have
- 19 to say about it. The references just don't teach our advantages, do not teach
- 20 the -- do not teach an advantage of this particular carrier mechanism that
- 21 we've used for treating scars. I will entertain any questions.
- JUDGE SCHEINER: I don't have anything further.
- JUDGE SPIEGEL: Thank you, sir. Your case is under advisement.
- MR. FRENKEL: Quick question: Typically, how long does it take to
- 25 get a --

26

1	JUDGE SPIEGEL: You'll get this one quickly.
2	MR. FRENKEL: Ma'am?
3	JUDGE SPIEGEL: You'll get this one very quickly.
4	MR. FRENKEL: Thank you very much.
5	JUDGE SPIEGEL: Normally, within three months, but this one wil
6	be
7	MR. FRENKEL: Okay, very good. You guys are moving along,
8	because I just filed a
9	Whereupon, the proceedings, at 10:27 a.m., were concluded.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	